
Final Environmental Assessment
US Route 54 Expressway
Miller and Camden Counties, Missouri
Cities of Lake Ozark and Osage Beach



Federal Highway Administration



Missouri Department of Transportation

May 5, 2004

MoDOT Project No. J5P0781

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT
FOR
ROUTE 54 EXPRESSWAY, MILLER AND CAMDEN COUNTIES
FROM BUSINESS 54 TO 0.5 MILE SOUTH OF ROUTE 42
JOB NO. J5P0781

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has determined that Alternative C' will have no significant impact on the human environment. This Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is based on the attached Final Environmental Assessment (EA) which has been independently evaluated by the FHWA and determined to adequately and accurately discuss the need, environmental issues, and impacts of the proposed project and appropriate mitigation measures. It provides sufficient evidence and analysis for determining that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required. The FHWA takes full responsibility for the accuracy, scope, and content of the attached EA.

May 5, 2004
Date

Beggy J. Casey
For FHWA

ROUTE 54 EXPRESSWAY
MILLER AND CAMDEN COUNTIES, MISSOURI
MoDOT JOB NO. J5P0781

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Submitted Pursuant to 42 USC 4332 (2)(c)
and where applicable, 49 USC 303 by the

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
and
Missouri Department of Transportation

May 5, 2004
Date of Approval

Peggy J. Casey
For FHWA

The following persons may be contacted for additional information concerning this document:

Mr. Donald Neumann, Programs Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
209 Adams Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101-3203
573-636-7104

Mr. Kevin Keith, Chief Engineer
Missouri Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 270
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0270
573-751-3758

The proposed project includes the construction of a four-lane fully controlled access highway from an eastern (or northern) terminus at Business 54 to a western (or southern) terminus at a point approximately 0.5-mile south of Route 42 along Route 54 for a total length of approximately 1.5 miles. Interchanges are proposed at each terminus.



U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration



Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0 Project Description, and Purpose and Need	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Project History	1-1
1.3 Logical Termini and Independent Utility	1-3
1.4 Purpose of Proposed Action.....	1-3
1.5 Need for Proposed Action	1-4
1.5.1 System Capacity and Access	1-4
1.5.2 Improve Safety.....	1-7
1.5.3 Route Continuity	1-8
2.0 Project Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Transportation Strategies Considered.....	2-1
2.1.1 No Action 2-1	
2.1.2 Transportation System Management (TSM).....	2-1
2.1.3 Upgrade of Existing Route 54.....	2-1
2.1.4 Build Alternatives Partially or Entirely on New Alignment.....	2-1
2.2 Facility Type	2-2
2.3 Development of Preliminary Constraints	2-2
2.4 Criteria for the Development of Study Alternatives.....	2-3
2.4.1 Purpose for Developing Study Alternative Criteria	2-3
2.4.2 Criteria for Development of the Study Alternatives.....	2-3
2.5 Study Alternatives	2-4
2.6 Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration	2-4
2.6.1 Alternative B	2-4
2.6.2 Direct Connection to the Proposed Expressway from Route 42.....	2-4
2.6.3 Single Point Interchange at Southern Terminus	2-5
2.7 Alternatives Retained for Detailed Analysis.....	2-5
2.7.1 Alternative A1	2-5
2.7.2 Alternative A2	2-6
2.7.3 Alternative C'	2-6
2.8 Evaluation of Alternatives and Preferred Alternative	2-6
2.9 Value Engineering	2-10
3.0 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	3-1
3.1 Social and Economic Conditions within the Affected Environment	3-1
3.1.1 Community Characteristics.....	3-1
3.1.2 Regional Populations Trends.....	3-2
3.1.3 Housing Characteristics.....	3-2
3.1.4 Age Characteristics.....	3-3
3.1.5 Racial Characteristics	3-3
3.1.6 Educational Level	3-3
3.1.7 Economic Characteristics	3-4
3.1.8 Labor Force Characteristics.....	3-4
3.1.9 Summary of Socioeconomic Conditions	3-5
3.1.10 Social and Economic Impacts.....	3-6
3.1.11 Community Cohesion	3-10

**Table of Contents
(continued)**

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.2	Land Use and Zoning 3-10
3.2.1	Existing Zoning 3-10
3.2.2	Impacts to Zoning 3-10
3.2.3	Existing Land Use 3-10
3.2.4	Future Land Use 3-12
3.2.5	Impacts to Existing Land Use 3-12
3.3	Community Facilities 3-12
3.3.1	Parks, Recreation, and Open Space 3-12
3.3.2	Churches 3-13
3.3.3	Schools 3-13
3.3.4	Cemeteries 3-13
3.3.5	Emergency Services 3-13
3.3.6	Impacts to Community Facilities 3-13
3.4	Agriculture 3-13
3.5	Traffic and Transportation 3-14
3.5.1	Existing Traffic 3-14
3.5.2	Mass Transit 3-14
3.5.3	Bicycle and Pedestrian Use 3-14
3.6	Air Quality 3-14
3.7	Geology, Cover Type, and Terrestrial Ecology 3-15
3.8	Water Quality and Aquatic Ecology 3-15
3.9	Threatened and Endangered Species 3-16
3.10	Cultural Resources 3-16
3.10.1	Archaeology 3-16
3.10.2	Architecture 3-17
3.11	Hazardous Materials 3-19
3.12	Floodplains 3-20
3.13	Wetlands and Waters of the United States 3-20
3.13.1	Wetlands 3-21
3.13.2	Ponds 3-21
3.13.3	Stream/River Crossings 3-21
3.13.4	Riparian Corridor 3-22
3.13.5	Public Wells 3-22
3.13.6	Possible Mitigation Site 3-23
3.14	Noise 3-23
3.14.1	Alternative A1 3-25
3.14.2	Alternative A2 3-25
3.14.3	Alternative C' 3-25
3.15	Park Lands and Public Lands Section 4(f)/6(f) Properties 3-25
3.16	Construction Impacts 3-25
4.0	Coordination 4-1
4.1	Public Involvement 4-1
4.1.1	Summary of Public Concerns 4-1
4.1.2	Public Meetings 4-1
4.1.3	Other Public Outreach 4-7
4.2	Agency Coordination 4-7

Table of Contents (Continued)

List of Tables

Table 1-1	LOS Characteristics, Urban Arterials.....	1-5
Table 1-2	LOS Characteristics, Signalized Intersections.....	1-5
Table 1-3	Existing Signalized Intersection LOS (2001).....	1-6
Table 1-4	Existing and Projected Average Daily Traffic Volumes in the Study Area.....	1-7
Table 1-5	Signalized Intersection LOS (2021).....	1-7
Table 2-1	Advantages and Disadvantages of Each Build Alternative.....	2-8
Table 2-2	Estimated Costs of the Three Build Alternatives (2002 Dollars).....	2-9
Table 3-1	General Demographics, 2001	3-1
Table 3-2	Population Trends	3-2
Table 3-3	Housing Characteristics, 2000	3-2
Table 3-4	Age Characteristics, 2001	3-3
Table 3-5	Racial Characteristics, 2001.....	3-3
Table 3-6	Educational Characteristics.....	3-4
Table 3-7	Income Characteristics.....	3-4
Table 3-8	Percent Unemployment Rate	3-5
Table 3-9	Labor Force Characteristics by Job Type, 2001.....	3-5
Table 3-10	Residential and business Displacements.....	3-6
Table 3-11	Business Displacements – Preferred Alternative	3-7
Table 3-12	Employment Impacts – Preferred Alternative	3-9
Table 3-13	Existing Lane Use	3-11
Table 3-14	Potential Existing Land Use Impacts Associated with the Preferred Alternative	3-12
Table 3-15	Noise Abatement Criteria, Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level—Decibels.....	3-24
Table 3-16	Factors to Determine Reasonableness	3-24

Table of Contents (Continued)

List of Figures (Figures are located at the end of each respective section)

Figure 1-1	Route 54 Expressway, MoDOT Improvement Plans, Lake of the Ozarks Region
Figure 1-2	Project Study Area
Figure 2-1	Typical Section
Figure 2-2	Alternative A1
Figure 2-3	Alternative A2
Figure 2-4	Alternative B
Figure 2-5	Alternative C
Figure 2-6	Alternative C'
Figure 2-7	Route 42 Extension
Figure 2-8	Single Point Urban Diamond
Figure 2-9	Split Diamond (One-Way Couple)
Figure 3-1	Study Area
Figure 3-2	Business Displacements
Figure 3-3	Existing Zoning
Figure 3-4	Existing Land Use
Figure 3-5	Community Facilities
Figure 3-6	Environmental and Cultural Resources
Figure 3-7	Potential Hazardous Materials Sites

List of Appendices

Appendix A	U.S. 54 Expressway Traffic Study, Miller and Camden Counties, Missouri
Appendix B	Preliminary Plan and Profile Plates of the Final Study Alternatives
Appendix C	Correspondence
Appendix D	Tort Liability Statement Traffic Accident and Safety Data
Appendix E	Preliminary Cost Estimates
Appendix F	Cultural Resources Survey

Table of Contents (Continued)

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ADT	average daily traffic
Business 54	Business Route 54
CBB	Crawford Bunte Brammeier
CERCLIS	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CWA	Clean Water Act
dbh	diameter at breast height
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
DOT	Department of Transportation
EA	Environmental Assessment
ER	Environmental Reevaluation
ERNS	Emergency Response Notification System
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FIRMs	Flood Insurance Rate Maps
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
GIS	geographical information system
HMVMT	hundred million vehicle miles traveled
LOS	Level of Service
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
MDC	Missouri Department of Conservation
MDNR	Missouri Department of Natural Resources
MoDOT	Missouri Department of Transportation
NAC	Noise Abatement Criteria
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NPS	National Park Service
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWI	National Wetland Inventory
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Route 54	U.S. Route 54
SEMA	State Emergency Management Agency
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SPUD	single-point urban diamond
TSD	Treatment, Storage, and Disposal
TSM	Transportation System Management
USC	United States Code
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	Underground Storage Tank
VE	value engineering
vpd	vehicles per day